Appendix 4

CPC Questions & Comments Summary

September 26 meeting

General Questions and Remarks:

- How were Purdue students calculated in the population projections?
- What does LOS mean?
- What do the numbers on the handout represent?
- > Does the no build scenario include the US 231 relocation project?
- With the employment projections, were they spread over the whole economic spectrum or were more jobs added in the middle to lower class.
- Did we expect Purdue University to grow?
- Are the Klondike proposed super two lane improvement an urban or rural design.
- ➤ Why are more trucks using 9th Street south of South Street?
- ➤ What is INDOT doing at I-65 and SR 25?
- Housing density should determine where roads need to go.
- How will all of these projects be financed?
- > Are there any plans for another major shopping mall.
- ➤ When will construction start on North 9th?
- > When will construction start on Lindberg Road?
- ➤ A member thanked INDOT for installing the traffic signal at CR 600N at SR 43.

Improvement Questions:

- Address the problem of left turning vehicles on South Street from 18th to US 52.
- Widen US 52 to six lanes.
- ➤ Foresee problems with SR 25 south of the I-65 when the Hoosier Heartland is built.
- Improvements to Main Street at the Wabash National and Alcoa driveways.
- Will there be any improvements on Morehouse Road due to the close intersections at US 52 and Kalberer.
- What will happen to the McCormick intersection at Lindberg Road when US 231 is built?
- Are there any projects proposed for SR 26 west of US 231?
- Klondike road is narrow at US 52 and drivers are making a right turn lane where there is none.
- Will US 231 north of SR 26 be constructed as a super two lane or four lanes?
- Will a road be built for the Park over to SR 43?
- ➤ If US 231 is extend north, it would ruin the northern and western portion of West Lafayette.

December 5 meeting

General Questions and Remarks:

- How is capacity calculated?
- If the roads do not have any color shown, are they A or B level?
- > The presentation urban map needs to include the Town of Dayton.
- No north-south road corridor was established or planned when SIA was built.
- What were the color differences on the improvement map.
- Are all of the improvements in the 2010 scenario included in the 2025 test?
- > How close has actual development that has occurred compare to what older plans projected?
- > Is the term collector a technical term?
- ➤ When will US 231 be opened?

Improvement Questions:

- Ninth Street north of Teal Road should widened.
- > If 9th street is widened south of the Railroad tracks, it will be extremely difficult to cross 9th especially for grade school children.
- Yost Drive needs to be included.
- > Are there any improvements proposed for the Airport?
- Are there any plans for another Airport besides the one at Purdue?

January 23 meeting

General Questions and Remarks:

- Will high-speed rail impact the airport?
- Are the most recent projects proposed added to the previous list?
- Did Yost Drive have any impact?
- What will happen to the homes along SR 26 if it were to become relocated US 231?
- Would relocated US 231 be limited access?

Improvement Questions:

- ➤ If CR 800S does become a state road, then there needs to be an interchange at I-65.
- All of the suggestions are good.



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

100 North Senate Avenue Room N755

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2249

(317) 232-5533

FAX: (317) 232-0238

An Equal Opportunity Employer ● http://www.state.in.us/dot

FRANK O'BANNON, Governor CRISTINE M. KLIKA. Commissioner

RECEIVED

APR 2 6 2001

Writer's Direct Line 317-232-5646

April 20, 2001

James D. Hawley, Executive Director Tippecanoe County Area Plan Commission 20 North Third St. Lafayette, IN 47901

Dear Mr. Hawley,

We have reviewed the April 1, 2001 draft of your 2025 Transportation Plan Update for the proposed state jurisdictional improvements. We note that you have made several of the plan revisions requested in our March 8, 2001 letter which compared the proposed transportation improvements to the INDOT 2000 to 2025 Transportation Plan. Let me summarize the revisions in the following categories:

A. Projects which are in agreement with INDOT Plan

----Planning Period 1999 to 2010

SR 25 Hoosier Heartland (I-1)

INDOT Project #466

SR 26 I-65 to CR550 East (I-5)

INDOT Project #89

SR 26 CR550 East to Wildcat Creek (I-6)

INDOT Project #475 (ATL will narrow to super 2 before bridge)

SR 43 I-65 to CR650 North (I-7)

INDOT Project #106

SR 43 CR650 North to SR225 (I-8)

INDOT Project #93

I-65 Interchange Improvements (I-9)

at SR43 (INDOT Project #94) and SR 26 (INDOT Project #95)

US231, Phase 1 (I-11)

Project Underway

US231, Phase 2 South River Rd to SR 26 (I-12)

INDOT Project #100

US231, Phase 3 SR 26 to US 52 (I-13)

INDOT Project #465

----Planning Period 2011 to 2025

SR 26 US52 to I-65 (I-17)

INDOT Project #141

US231 South of CR 500 South to County Line (I-25)

INDOT Project #480

B. Projects which may be in agreement with INDOT Plan but need more detail

----Planning Period 2011 to 2025

SR 26 Wildcat Creek to County Line (I-18)

INDOT Project #477 (Super 2 lane not 4 lane)

SR43 CR 725 North to County Line (I-20)

INDOT Project #107(2 Lane Rehabilitation not 4 lane)

I-65 South County Line to SR 43 (note not County Line north) (I-21)

INDOT Project #477

C. Projects not in agreement with INDOT Plan but are under study and should be shown in the illustrative list

---Planning Period 2011 to 2025

SR 26 Modified Interchange at US52 (I-16)

US 231 US52 to I-65 (I-22)

US 231 Interchange at I-65 (I-23)

Study in Progress, not in INDOT Plan at this time

Study in Progress, not in INDOT Plan at this time

Study in Progress, not in INDOT Plan at this time

D. Projects not in agreement with INDOT Plan and not under study and may be shown in the illustrative list as unfunded needs

----Planning Period 1999 to 2010

SR 25 Old Romney to US231 (I-2)

2)

Roadway Segment Proposed for Relinquishment (not in INDOT Plan)

SR 25 SR25/231 to Teal Rd. (I-3)

Roadway Segment Proposed for Relinquishment (not in INDOT Plan)

SR 25 4th St. to 18th St. (I-4)

Roadway Segment Proposed for Relinquishment (not in INDOT Plan)

---Planning Period 2011 to 2025

SR 25 CR 375 to new US231 (I-15)

Not in INDOT Plan

US231A (?) I-65 to SR43 (I-24)

Not in INDOT Plan

SR 43 State Park Rd to I-65 (I-19)

Not in INDOT Plan

In accordance with FHWA transportation planning regulations, we wish the Area Plan Commission's Plan to show only the agreed upon state jurisdictional projects which are contained in INDOT's 2000 to 2025 Transportation Plan, if the MPO's fiscal constraint analysis is showing the projects to be paid for and developed by INDOT.

Under the provisions of TEA-21, you may show the proposed state jurisdictional projects that INDOT has not agreed to as an illustrative list of transportation improvements and document the network benefits. We would prefer the illustrative projects to be shown on a separate map in the MPO's Transportation Plan document to eliminate any confusion over which category a proposed improvement is in. Illustrative projects have no official standing for transportation project development or air quality purposes until such time as a financing source has been identified and they have been formally amended into the plan by action of the MPO. The benefits of transportation improvements on the illustrative list will be considered by INDOT as the planning process moves forward and decisions are made on whether to accept the proposed improvements into the INDOT transportation plan.

We appreciate the opportunity to work with the Tippecanoe County Area Plan Commission on the development of the 2025 Transportation Plan Update. For additional information on the INDOT 2025 Transportation Plan, please contact Steve Smith of the Long-Range Transportation Planning Section. For other information on the cooperative INDOT/MPO Transportation Plan development process and the programming of specific transportation improvements, please contact Randy Walter of the Programming Section. For information on information pertaining to existing and proposed route transfer agreements, please contact Jamie Gallagher.

Sincerely.

Janice Osadczuk, Chief

Division of Environment, Planning and Engineering

aniel Osalik

Cc:

John Weaver

Randy Walter

Jamie Gallagher

Larry Heil, FHWA

INDOT Illustrative Project List

